UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/582,224 | 04/20/2007 | Yoko Matsubayashi | 2006_0886A | 2914 |
| 52349 7590 06/20/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 2033 K. STREET, NW SUITE 800 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MACCHIAROLO, PETER J | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2879 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/20/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/582,224 | MATSUBAYASHI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | PETER J. MACCHIAROLO | 2879 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 A</u> _L This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 June 2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/08/2006. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | te | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 06/08/2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "30" has been used to designate both a dielectric member and a conductive member (see at least paragraph 126 in the published specification). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,224 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors, however the specification is replete with minor errors in at least pages 1-3. Applicant's cooperation is requested in revising and correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Examples of some typographical, grammatical, and non-idiomatic errors in the specification can be found in at least numbered paragraphs 2-6. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "a dielectric member disposed in the vicinity of the internal electrode so as to be interposed between the bulb and the external electrode at a portion in an elongation direction of the bulb" (emphasis added). The portion at which the dielectric member is disposed is not clear. The Examiner has turned to the drawings to ascertain the scope of the invention, however cannot glean the portion. For the purpose of examination, the Examiner reads, "a dielectric member being disposed on a first portion of the bulb so as to be interposed between the bulb and the external electrode, the first portion being located on the outside of the bulb in the vicinity of the internal electrode."

Furthermore, claim 1 recites, "a holder member holding the external electrode so that remaining portion of the bulb other than *the portion* where the dielectric member exists and the external electrode are opposed to each other with a predetermined distance of a space" (emphasis added). It is not clear if "the portion" is the same portion recited in the earlier clause or if this is another different portion. The Examiner has gleaned from figure 1 that this is a second portion which is different from the previously discussed portion, i.e. the remaining part of the bulb not covered with the dielectric layer. The resultant clause is read as, "a holder member holding the external electrode so that a second portion of the bulb and the external electrode are opposed to each other with a predetermined distance of a space therebetween, the second portion being located on the outside of the bulb, and not overlapping the first portion."

The remaining claims are rejected due to their dependency.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding independent claim 1, the prior art fails to teach or suggest <u>a light source device</u> having a dielectric member being disposed on a first portion of the bulb so as to be interposed between the bulb and the external electrode, the first portion being located on the outside of the bulb in the vicinity of the internal electrode; and a holder member holding the external electrode so that a second portion of the bulb and the external electrode are opposed to each other with a predetermined distance of a space therebetween, the second portion being located on the outside

Application/Control Number: 10/582,224 Page 5

Art Unit: 2879

of the bulb, and not overlapping the first portion, in combination with the remaining limitations

of the claims. The remaining claims would be allowable due to their dependency.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter J Macchiarolo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2375.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2475. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

/Peter Macchiarolo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2879

(571) 272-2375